

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/733,869	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> George R. Evanisko	<b>Art Unit</b> 3762	

**All Participants:**

(1) George R. Evanisko.

(2) Clara Davis.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 July 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
*see exmrs amdt*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To cancel claims 3, 4, and 63 since their claim limitations were incorporated in independent claims 1 and 59 in the amendment. To insert "wherein the plurality of windows comprise" into the independent claims dealing with the three windows to overcome a minor claim objection.